NONLINEAR STATIONARY FLEXURAL-TORSIONAL WAVES IN AN ELASTIC ROD

V.I. Erofeev¹,²,³*, B.B. Lampsi⁴, N.N. Verichev¹

¹Mechanical Engineering Research Institute of RAS, Belinskogo str. 85, Nizhny Novgorod, 603024, Russia
²Nizhny Novgorod State University by N.I. Lobachevsky, Prospekt Gagarina (Gagarin Avenue) 23, Nizhny Novgorod, 603950, Russia
³Nizhny Novgorod State Technical University by R.E. Alekseev, Minina str. 24, Nizhny Novgorod, 603950, Russia
⁴Nizhny Novgorod State University for Architecture, Ilyinskaya 65, Nizhny Novgorod, 603950, Russia

*e-mail: erf04@mts.nn.ru

Abstract. The processes of interaction of flexural and torsional waves that lead to the formation of periodic and solitary waves are studied here in the framework of geometrically nonlinear model of an elastic rod.

1. Introduction

In earlier papers dedicated to the dynamics of elastic structures based on rods, a linear theory of propagation of flexural-torsional waves in a thin-walled elastic beams of arbitrary cross section, the general features of the dispersion relation, taking into account the flexural-torsional relationship [1, 2], flexural-torsional vibrations of two rigidly coupled elastic rods with clamped ends [3].

In [4] within the geometrically nonlinear model of bending-torsional vibrations of an elastic rod, the problems of propagation of intense flexural wave in a twisted rod and the spread of intensive torsional waves in a rod with initial deflection.

In this paper, we study processes of interaction of flexural and torsional waves leading to the formation of nonlinear periodic and solitary waves.

2. Mathematical models

Coupled flexural-torsional waves are governed by a system of the form [4]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + c_s^2 r_y^2 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} &= 2c_m^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \theta^2 + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} r_x^2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right\}, \\
\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial t^2} - c_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{2c_m^2}{I_\rho} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{\beta}{\rho I_\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^3 + c_m^2 \theta^2 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right] - 2c_m^2 \theta^3 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 - c_m^2 \theta^3 \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(w(x,t)\) is the lateral deflection of particles of the centerline of the rod; \(\theta(x,t)\) is the angle of rotation of the cross-sectional area; \(c_t = \sqrt{\mu/\rho}\) is the speed of propagation of shear waves.
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wave; \( c_r = \sqrt{E/\rho} \) is the speed of propagation of longitudinal wave (rod speed); \( c_m = \sqrt{(\lambda+\mu)/\rho} \); \( E \) is the Young’s modulus; \( \lambda, \mu \) are the Lame constants; \( \rho \) is the density of the rod material; \( r_y \) and \( r_\rho \) are the axial and angular radii of inertia defined by the following relations: 
\[
I_y = \int \rho z^2 dF \quad \text{is the axial moment of inertia;}
\]
\[
I_\rho = \int (y^2 + z^2) dF \quad \text{is the angular moment of inertia;}
\]
\( F \) is the cross-sectional area; 
\[
\beta = (\lambda + 2\mu)/F (\psi_0 z) dF \approx \beta \approx \frac{(2\epsilon)^{1/2}}{\psi}, \quad \beta = 0 \quad \text{to} \quad \epsilon = 0^2, \quad \lambda \sim r_\rho, \quad \psi_0, \quad \epsilon = \theta_0, \quad U = \theta_0, \quad U = \frac{d\psi}{d\xi}, \quad \eta = \xi / \Lambda, \quad \epsilon \gg 0^2, \quad \lambda \sim r_\rho. \]

Note, that to obtain equation (6) we have neglected nonlinear terms in front of 
\[
\frac{d^2 \tilde{\theta}}{d\eta^2}
\]
as higher-order terms compared to the saved terms.

Points \( O(W_0, X_0, \psi_0, Y_0) \): \( O_1(0,0,0,0), \quad O_2(-1,0,0,0), \quad O_3(1,0,0,0) \) represent states of equilibrium of equations (3).

Directly from equations (3) we establish the existence of the following integral manifolds of the system in the phase space \( G(W,X,\psi,Y) \).

\( M_1 = \{ \psi = 0, Y = 0 \} \) (coordinate plane \( (W,X) \)) is an integral manifold of system (3).

We conclude that in the absence of torsion, an existence of two types of stationary flexural waves is possible.

Solitons (kink and antikink) [6], corresponding to the separatrix going from saddle \( O_2(-1,0,0,0) \) to saddle \( O_3(1,0,0,0) \). Periodic stationary waves correspond to periodic trajectories inside the separatrix contour. Trajectories near a separatrix loop correspond to cnoidal waves and trajectories located close to the equilibrium state \( O_1(0,0,0,0) \) (center) correspond to quasi-harmonic waves.

\( M_2 = \{ W = 0, X = 0 \} \) (coordinate plane \( (\psi, Y) \)) is an integral manifold of system (3). Thus, in the absence of flexural disturbances all possible stationary torsional waves are periodic and according to the terminology from the [6] they are essentially nonlinear.

Consider the dynamics of the system (3) beyond integral manifolds.

As shown by the numerical experiment, stationary motion of the oscillators in system (3) that occur outside the manifolds discussed above are either periodic or quasi-periodic. Consequently, these motions determine either periodic or quasi-periodic flexural-torsional stationary waves, see Figs. 1-4. Characteristic features of these waves are as follows.

When the waveform is shaping, the interaction of flexural and torsional vibrations is weak in the sense that small oscillations of one component at any point remain so at all points
of the wave profile. This situation is shown in Figs. 1 and 2. In the first case, the flexural component is small, and in the second one the torsional wave component is small. The weak interaction of flexural and twisting component of the stationary wave can be explained by the large spacing of their nonlinear frequencies determined by the displacement of both components from the equilibrium state.

In the case of close intensities (when they have the same order) of the flexural and twisting components (respectively, the oscillation frequencies of the corresponding oscillators), their resonant interaction occurs, which results in a periodic stationary wave shown in Fig. 3, or in a quasi-periodic wave with considerable depth of modulation of one of its components, see Fig. 4.
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