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Abstract. In this work, a boundary element method (BEM) is applied for time-harmonic analysis of three-dimensional linear piezoelectric solids. Coupled frequency domain boundary value problems of the linear theory of piezoelectricity are considered assuming zero initial conditions, in the absence of the body forces and free electric charges. The elastic and electric variables are combined into the extended vectors and tensors. Proposed boundary element approach employs regularized weakly singular frequency domain boundary integral equations (BIEs) for the extended displacements. A standard collocation procedure for the mixed boundary elements is used. Integral expressions of the three-dimensional frequency domain dynamic piezoelectric fundamental solutions are employed. Results of the boundary-element analysis of a test problem are provided to validate the proposed BEM formulation.
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1. Introduction
Piezoelectric materials show two kinds of coupling effects and are extensively used to convert energy between two different forms: electric and mechanic. The direct effect consists in the ability to accumulate electric charge as a response to applied mechanical loads; the converse effect is characterized by the ability to produce mechanical deformation when electric loads are applied. Piezoelectric materials proved to be indispensable and very effective in many engineering applications such as vibration-based energy harvesters [1–3], microelectromechanical systems devices [4,5], active damping in structural vibrations, acoustic noise suppression, etc. To utilize the potential of piezoelectric structures subjected to transient dynamic or time-harmonic loadings to full extent it is essential to properly model their coupled behavior with reliable numerical method.

Various numerical techniques, such as Finite Difference Method (FDM) or Finite Element Method (FEM), can be employed to study dynamic behavior of complex piezoelectric structures. Among them is Boundary Element Method, a well-known boundary integral equations based method. Compared to the domain-based numerical methods like FDM and FEM, BEM has the distinct advantage of unknown field variables being located only on the boundary of the domain under consideration. This feature leads to the lack of necessity to mesh the interior of the domain, which results in the smaller number of unknowns in the discrete model.

The development of conventional direct boundary element procedure for transient dynamic and time-harmonic problems in linear piezoelectric solids as usual relies on reduction of the boundary value problems to a corresponding system of boundary integral
equations with utilization of the reciprocal theorem and fundamental solutions. It is known, that the dynamic fundamental solutions and the corresponding stress fields for generally anisotropic piezoelectric materials are not available in the closed-form expressions. Various approaches for numerical and semi-analytical treatment of the static anisotropic elastic and piezoelectric fundamental solutions were proposed (e.g. [6–13]). Review of the available scientific literature reveals only a few BEM implementations concerning time-harmonic analysis for piezoelectric solids [14–18] including those based on Dual Reciprocity approach, which utilizes only the static fundamental solution.

In this paper, a formulation of the frequency domain direct boundary element approach is present and applied for the time-harmonic analysis of three-dimensional (3D) homogeneous anisotropic and linear piezoelectric solids. The boundary integral equations are regularized employing the static part of the fundamental solution. The frequency domain displacement fundamental solutions for linear piezoelectric material are expressed in an integral form. Mixed boundary elements and standard collocation procedure are used for the spatial discretization. The versatility and reliability of the proposed formulation is demonstrated by numerical examples for piezoelectric solid under complex electro-mechanical loading. The obtained boundary element results are compared with those obtained by a finite element method.

2. Problem statement

Consider a three-dimensional homogeneous finite anisotropic and piezoelectric solid \( \Omega \in R^3 \) with smooth boundary \( \Gamma = \partial \Omega \). Piezoelectric linear constitutive equations are given as follows [19,20]:

\[
\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} s_{kl} - e_{ijk} E_k, \quad i, j, k, l = 1, 3, \\
D_i = e_{ikl} s_{kl} + \varepsilon_{ik} E_k, 
\]

where \( \sigma_{ij} \) is the stress tensor, \( D_i \) are the electric displacements, \( s_{kl} \) is the strain tensor and \( E_k \) is the electric field. The tensors \( C_{ijkl} \), \( e_{ijk} \) and \( \varepsilon_{ik} \) denote, respectively, the elastic stiffness constants, the piezoelectric coupling coefficients and the dielectric properties.

For the generally anisotropic piezoelectric material, the following symmetry conditions are satisfied:

\[
C_{ijkl} = C_{klij} = C_{jikl} = C_{ijkl}, \\
e_{ijk} = e_{jki}, \\
\varepsilon_{ik} = \varepsilon_{ki}.
\]

Applying the quasi-electrostatic assumption, the strain – displacement and the electric field – electric potential relationships are written as

\[
s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{ij} + u_{ji}), \\
E_i = -\phi_j,
\]

where \( u_i \) are the elastic displacements and \( \phi \) is the electric potential.

In the absence of applied volume forces and free electrical charges the equilibrium equations and the electrical balance equations (the electrostatic equations) in the time domain are given as follows

\[
\sigma_{ij} = \rho \ddot{u}_i, \\
D_{ij} = 0,
\]

where \( \rho \) is the mass density.
It is practical to combine the elastic and electric variables into the extended vectors and matrices using the extended notation [6] as follows

\[
U_k = \begin{cases} u_k, & k = 1,3, \\ \phi, & k = 4, \end{cases}
\]

\[
S_{ij} = \begin{cases} s_{ij}, & k, l = 1,3, \\ -E_i, & k = 4, l = 1,3, \end{cases}
\]

\[
\Sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{ij}, & i, j = 1,3, \\ D_{ij}, & i = 1,3, j = 4, \end{cases}
\]

\[
C_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} C_{ijkl}^E, & i, j, k, l = 1,3, \\ e_{ijkl}, & i, l, j = 1,3, k = 4, \\ -e_{ijkl}, & i, l, k = 1,3, j = 4, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Considering the symmetry conditions (3) – (5) we can write the following relationship for the extended piezoelectricity matrix \( C_{ijkl} \):

\[
C_{ijkl} = C_{klji}.
\]

Taking into account the extended notation (10) – (13) we rewrite the time-domain equations of motion of a linear piezoelectric solid in a simplified form in terms of the extended displacements:

\[
C_{ijkl} \ddot{U}_k \delta_{jk} \delta_{ik} = \rho \delta_{ik} \dot{U}_k, \quad i, l = 1,3, \quad j, k = 1,4,
\]

\[
\delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} \delta_{jk}, & j, k = 1,3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

We consider vanishing initial conditions and the following boundary conditions:

\[
U_i(x, t) = \bar{U}_i(x, t) = 0, \quad t \leq 0,
\]

\[
U_i(x, t) = U_i^*(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma_U,
\]

\[
T_i(x, t) = T_i^*(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma_T,
\]

where \( \Gamma_U \) is the part of \( \Gamma \) on which the extended displacements \( U_i(x, t) \) have the prescribed values \( U_i^* \) and \( \Gamma_T \) is the part of \( \Gamma \) on which the extended tractions \( T_i \):

\[
T_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} t_i = \sigma_{il} n_k, \quad k = 1,3, \\ D_{il} = D_{il} n_k, \quad k = 4, \end{array} \right.
\]

have the prescribed values \( T_i^* \) with \( n_i \) being the outward unit normal vector.

For the prescribed frequency \( \omega \) and zero initial conditions (17), we rewrite the governing equations (15) and boundary conditions (18) – (19) in the frequency domain:

\[
C_{ijkl} \ddot{U}_k \delta_{jk} \delta_{ik} = \rho \omega^2 \delta_{ik} \ddot{U}_k, \quad i, l = 1,3, \quad j, k = 1,4,
\]

\[
\bar{U}_i(x, \omega) = \bar{U}_i^*(x, \omega), \quad x \in \Gamma_U,
\]

\[
\bar{T}_i(x, \omega) = \bar{T}_i^*(x, \omega), \quad x \in \Gamma_T,
\]

where overbar denotes a variable in the frequency domain.

3. BEM formulation

In the present work, we use the frequency domain direct BEM approach to solve the linear boundary-value problem defined in equations (21) – (23). To solve the prescribed boundary-value problem first it is needed to be reformulated as boundary integral equations. For the extended displacement in the frequency domain, the following system of non-strongly
singular BIEs can be obtained using the static singular part of the piezoelectric traction fundamental solution:

\[\int \left[ \tilde{U}_k(y, \omega) \overline{h}_{jk}(y, x, \omega) - \tilde{U}_k(x, \omega) h^S_{jk}(y, x, \omega) \right] d\Gamma(y) - \int \tilde{T}_k(y, \omega) \overline{g}_{jk}(y, x, \omega) d\Gamma(y) = 0, \quad (24)\]

where \(\overline{g}_{jk}\), \(\tilde{h}_{jk}\) and \(h^S_{jk}\) are the frequency domain dynamic piezoelectric extended displacement and traction fundamental solutions and the static part of traction fundamental solution, respectively; \(x \in \Gamma\) is the source point and \(y\) is the field point. All integrals in the regularized boundary integral equations (24) have the \(O(1/r)\) singularity.

For numerical solving the BIEs (24), we follow the standard boundary element procedure and start with the discretization of the boundary \(\Gamma\). Geometry of the boundary is approximated with the quadrangular boundary elements with quadratic shape functions. To describe the behavior of the extended displacements and tractions on the boundary elements we implement the mixed representation approach: for the displacements, linear interpolation functions are adopted and tractions are approximated by constant functions. After collocation procedure a system of complex-valued linear algebraic equations is obtained for the prescribed frequency \(\omega\):

\[\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{p}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{f}(\omega) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (25)\]

where \(\tilde{A}(\omega)\) is the rearranged system matrix according to the boundary conditions, \(\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{f}(\omega) \end{bmatrix}\) and \(\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{p}(\omega) \end{bmatrix}\) are the vectors, containing the known and unknown boundary data.

4. Frequency domain piezoelectric fundamental solutions

For homogeneous generally anisotropic linear piezoelectric solids, dynamic fundamental solutions are not available in an explicit form. Representations of the fundamental solutions in the frequency domain can be separated into singular (static, denoted with superscript "S") and regular (dynamic, denoted with superscript "D") parts as

\[\overline{g}_{ij}(y, x, \omega) = \overline{g}_{ij}(r, \omega) = g^S_{ij}(r) + \overline{g}^D_{ij}(r, \omega), \quad (26)\]

\[\tilde{h}_{ij}(y, x, \omega) = \tilde{h}_{ij}(r, \omega) = h^D_{ij}(r) + \tilde{h}^D_{ij}(r, \omega), \quad (27)\]

with \(r = y - x\), \(r = |r|\).

Using the approach based on the application of the Radon transform [21,22] the expressions for the dynamic and static parts of the piezoelectric displacement fundamental solutions are given as follows [11]:

\[\overline{g}^D_{ij}(r, \omega) = \frac{i}{8\pi^2} \int_{|r|>0} \frac{k_n \overline{T}^m_{ij}(n)}{\rho c_m^2} e^{j\beta r} dS(n), \quad j, p = 1, 4, \quad (28)\]

\[g^S_{ij}(r) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{|r|>1} \Gamma^{-1}_{ij}(d) dL(d), \quad (29)\]

here

\[\overline{P}^m_{jp} = \begin{cases} P^m_{jp}, & j, p = 1, 3, \\ -\frac{\Gamma_{ik} P^m_{jk}}{\Gamma_{44}}, & j = 1, 3, p = 4, \\ \frac{\Gamma_{ik} P^m_{ik} \Gamma_{44}}{\Gamma_{44}}, & j = p = 4, \end{cases} \quad (30)\]
\begin{equation}
P_{jp}^m = \frac{A_{jm}^m}{A_{jn}^m}, \quad A_{jm}^m = \text{adj}\left(L_{jm} - \rho c_m^2 \delta_{jm}\right), \quad L_{jm}(n) = \Gamma_{jm}(n) - \frac{\Gamma_{jd}(n)\Gamma_{4d}(n)}{\Gamma_{4j}(n)},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
c_m = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_m}{\rho}}, \quad k_m = \frac{\omega}{c_m}, \quad \Gamma_j(d) = C_{kk}d_k d_l, \quad \Gamma_j(n) = C_{kk}n_k n_l,
\end{equation}
where \(\lambda_m\) are the \(Q\) distinct eigenvalues of \(L_{jm}\).

The variables involved in the definition of domains of integration (see Fig. 1), i.e. a half of a unit sphere for the dynamic part and a unit circumference for the static part are defined as follows:
\begin{equation}
dL(d(\varphi)) \in D^s = \{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\}, \quad dS(n(b, \varphi)) \in D^o = \{0 \leq b \leq 1; 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\},
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
n(b, \varphi) = \sqrt{1 - b^2} d + be, \quad e = \frac{r}{r}, \quad e = [e_1, e_2, e_3],
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
d(\varphi) = \frac{[e_2 \cos \varphi + e_3 e_1 \sin \varphi, -e_2 \cos \varphi + e_3 e_1 \sin \varphi, -(1 - e_3^2) \sin \varphi]}{\sqrt{1 - e_3^2}}.
\end{equation}

Fig. 1. Geometry of \(r, e, n\) and \(d\)

For the unit normal vector to the boundary \(n_j(y)\) at the field point \(y\), the piezoelectric traction fundamental solutions are defined by
\begin{equation}
\overline{h}_{jp}(y, x, \omega) = C_{ijkl} \overline{S}_{kp}(y, x, \omega)n_j(y), \quad j, p = 1, 4.
\end{equation}

5. Numerical example

Our test model involves rectangular piezoelectric solid as shown in Fig. 2. The solid is clamped at \(x_3 = 0\) m. On the surface \(x_3 = 0.2\) m the electric potential is assumed to be zero.

Two cases of harmonic excitation on the surface \(x_3 = 1\) m is considered: traction \(t_5 = -1 \cdot 10^9\) Pa and electric potential \(\phi = 0\) V (case A), traction \(t_5 = -1 \cdot 10^9\) Pa and electric displacements \(D_n = 1\) C/m\(^2\) (case B). Other surfaces are free of the extended tractions. For both loading cases, two frequencies are considered: \(\omega_1 = 10000\) rad/s and \(\omega_2 = 20000\) rad/s.
PVDF is chosen as a piezoelectric material, which has the mass density $\rho = 1780$ kg/m$^3$ and the following material parameters:

$$
C^E = \begin{bmatrix}
238 & 3.98 & 2.19 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3.98 & 23.6 & 1.92 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2.19 & 1.92 & 10.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2.15 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.4 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6.43
\end{bmatrix} \text{ GPa},
$$

$$
\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix}
1.1068 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1.0607 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1.0607
\end{bmatrix} \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ C/Vm},
$$

$$
e = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.01 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -0.14 & -0.28 & 0 & 0 \\
-0.13 & -0.14 & -0.28 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \text{ C/m}^2.
$$

The solid is uniformly meshed with 1408 boundary elements in total. For loading case A, Figs. 3 – 6 and for loading case B, Figs. 7 – 10 show a comparison of the obtained BEM solutions and results of FEM analyses for the displacements $u_2$ and $u_3$, electric potential $\phi$ and electric displacements $D_n$. Results for the $u_2$, $u_3$, $\phi$ are calculated along the line $(0, 0, x_3)$ and for the $D_n$ along the line $(0, 0, 1, x_3)$. 

![Fig. 3. Displacements $u_2(0, 1, 0, x_3)$](image1.png)

![Fig. 4. Displacements $u_3(0, 1, 0, x_3)$](image2.png)
6. Conclusions
The frequency domain boundary element formulation based on non-strongly singular displacement BIEs for homogeneous three-dimensional linear piezoelectric solids is presented. Mixed boundary elements are used for the spatial discretization. Integral expressions of the piezoelectric fundamental solutions are adopted. The versatility and reliability of the present boundary element formulation for time-harmonic piezoelectric
analysis is demonstrated by numerical examples. Obtained solutions show good agreement with the finite element results.
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