

MICROHARDNESS STUDY OF TWO-LAYER NANOSTRUCTURES BY A NANOINDENTATION METHOD

A.S. Grashchenko¹, S.A. Kukushkin^{1,2,3,4}, A.V. Osipov^{1,2,3*}

¹Institute of Problems of Mechanical Engineering, Bolshoj pr. 61, V.O., St. Petersburg, 199178, Russia

²St. Petersburg Academic University, Khlopina 8/3, St. Petersburg, 194021, Russia

³ITMO University, Kronverkskiypr. 49, St. Petersburg, 197101, Russia

⁴St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, 195251 Russia

*e-mail: andrey.v.osipov@gmail.com

Abstract. The paper presents a model describing the Vickers microhardness of a two-layer film on a substrate as a function of a submergence depth of a nanoindenter. On the base of this model, the deformation characteristics of a two-layer silicon carbide nanofilm grown on silicon by an atom substitution method were derived. The Vickers microhardnesses of each layer of the film as well as of a layer of modified silicon, serving as substrate, were obtained. The thickness of each layer of the film was determined, and a good correspondence between the results obtained by an indentation method and data of spectral ellipsometry was exhibited.

1. Introduction

The elaboration of adequate methods to study mechanical and structural characteristics of multilayer nanostructures has been one of the most important issues of material sciences at the present day stage [1]. Difficulties emerge in determining deformation properties of a film material even with a uniform one-layer 100 nm-film, which could be produced due to nano-scale structure [2]. Actually, however, uniform films about 100 nm thick do not exist, since at the film-substrate interface the various buffer or transient layers are formed, thickness of which generally is tens of nanometers [3]. Such layers essentially lower the energy of the film-substrate interface, which can be chemical or mechanical in nature. Since a thickness of transient layers becomes compatible with that of the film itself, nanofilms can't be considered as being uniform in thickness. In such a situation the film have to be looked upon as consisting of several uniform layers. A similar approach is used, for instance, in optical studies [3] which are very sensitive to the uniformity of layers. However, the multilayer approaches in studies of deformation characteristics of nanostructures, are not practical, which is caused by the fact that the effective models for description of elastic properties of multilayer nanostructures are presently lacking.

2. A microhardness model for two-layer nanostructures

We propose the strategy to extend the one-layer model used for a description of microhardness of the film-substrate system and developed for the case of a soft film on a soft substrate [4], to the multilayer system. The particular technique is applicable for studies of deformation properties of a two-layer semiconductor nanostructure on silicon (Si), which consists of a layer of silicon carbide (SiC) and, so called, buffer layer of solid solution of SiC and Si. Such a semiconductor-structure has been obtained by a chemical substitution method of Si-atoms by C-atoms [5] and is used as a template for further deposition of high energy-gap

It follows from Eq. (4) that the contributions of the layers in the total microhardness are summed, similar to expression (1), but with different weight factors. The upper level enters with a weight $1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\gamma h}{t_1}\right)^{k_1}\right]$, dependent of its thickness t_1 . As could be expected, with $t_1 \rightarrow \infty$ this weight factor tends to zero. Similarly, one can build the model of microhardness for an n-layer system as well. For this purpose, beginning from the lowest layer, it is necessary to consider the lower layer together the substrate as an intermediate substrate for the next layer.

3. Experiment

An experimental testing of the given two-layer model was carried out with the silicon carbide samples grown on silicon by an atom substitution method [5]. Silicon carbide has been synthesized from silicon according to topochemical reaction.



A key peculiarity of above reaction is the fact that it proceeds via an intermediate state [7], which is silicon saturated with dilatation dipoles [5]. Each dipole consists of a carbide atom, inserted into a silicon lattice, and of a silicon vacancy formed in Si due to a SiO-gas volatilizing outward. Such point defects are formed only by vapors according to reaction (5), and then attracted together due to anisotropy of Si crystals [5, 8]. This attraction is so strong that balances the huge elasticity energy arising from the coherence conjugation of SiC crystals, which results in the growth of a defect-free and non-stress film of SiC on Si, in spite of the great difference in the lattice parameters of SiC and Si [8].

We studied two SiC/Si-samples. Sample 1 has been grown in a reactor at CO pressure of 2 Torr and temperature of 1270 °C, time of synthesis was 10 min. Sample 2 has been grown at CO pressure of 0.6 Torr and temperature of 1250 °C, time of synthesis was 20 min, in both cases we use the same silicon (111) of type KDB-10. Fig.1a, b displays the microphotographs of the cuts of the samples under study. There are clearly seen the voids in silicon under the SiC film, which are formed due to the fact that, first, a fraction of Si flies outward with SiO, and, secondly, the volume of a single cell of SiC (of a cubic polytype) half the volume of the cell of Si, i.e. the atoms are packed twice as dense. Fig. 2 a, b shows an image of the surface of the samples, obtained with the use of an atomic-force microscope.




Fig. 2. Images of the surface of samples 1(a) and 2 (b), obtained with the use of an atomic-force microscope.

The study of thickness and composition of the samples has been carried out by means of spectral ellipsometry [3]. Figure 3a, b shows the dependences of the imaginary part of

unloading of 0.05 mN/s . The maximum penetration depth was chosen to be larger than the total thickness of SiC. In the course of experiment the indenter was kept from a cavity in Si under the SiC film. The loading-unloading curves for samples 1 and 2 are shown in Fig. 5.




Fig. 5. Loading-unloading curves for SiC/Si samples 1 and 2, obtained by an atom substitution method; 1, sample 1; 2, sample 2.

A dependence of microhardness upon the indenter penetration depth is determined from the loading curve, i.e. from the left curve [2] (the unloading curve gives the Young modulus [2]). The results for both samples are presented in Fig.6 a, b. In the limits of the one-layer microhardness model (1) one can not attain a good agreement between the experimental (points) and theoretical results (dotted line). By contrast, in the limits of the two-layer model (4) (solid line) a root-mean-square deviation of experiment from theory reduces more than ten times. From comparison of curve (4) with an experimental dependence obtained at the geometrical factor $\gamma = 3$, we have for sample 1: $H_1 = 40 \text{ GPa}$, $H_2 = 44 \text{ GPa}$, $H_s = 2.5 \text{ GPa}$, $t_1 = 78 \text{ nm}$, $k_1 = 1.0$, $t_2 = 57 \text{ nm}$, $k_2 = 4.6$; and for sample 2: $H_1 = 42 \text{ GPa}$, $H_2 = 48 \text{ GPa}$, $H_s = 4.4 \text{ GPa}$, $t_1 = 138 \text{ nm}$, $k_1 = 1.0$, $t_2 = 57 \text{ nm}$, $k_2 = 3.4$.




Fig. 6. Vickers microhardness as a function of penetration depth of the indenter for samples 1 (a) and 2 (b), as obtained by an atom substitution method. A solid line marks the two-layer model (4), a dotted line, the one-layer model; points, experimental data. With taking into account of the second layer, a root-mean-square error of approximation is decreased about 20 times approximately.

4. Discussion and conclusions

A comparison between the obtained results and ellipsometrical data leads to the following conclusions. The two-layer model (4) adequately describes the microhardness behavior of

multilayer nanostructures and permits the thickness of the layers to be approximately measured.

Obviously, it is best to use the different geometrical factors for each layer, since their elastic characteristics are different. Specifically, the factor $\gamma = 3$ exactly determines the thickness of the first layer but for the second layer the factor $\gamma = 2$ would be more accurate. However, a determination of the individual factor γ for each layer is beyond the scope of the proposed model and can be made with the help of numerical simulation or by comparison with the ellipsometrical data. In any case, for the given system the error in measuring of the total thickness was less than 10%.

Microhardness of the second layer in samples of SiC/Si, i.e. solid solution of SiC and Si, appeared to be somewhat higher than that of the first layer, i.e. SiC with dilatation dipoles, and also of volume SiC (~46 GPa, ~41 GPa, ~33 GPa, respectively). Microhardness of Si which acquired the pores and voids as a result of chemical reaction, has become much less than that of volume Si (~3.5 GPa and ~9 GPa, respectively).

The first and second layers in both samples of SiC/Si are coherently conjugate, there are no defects at the interface between them, and, as a result, the constant k_1 equals 1.0 for both samples. Between the second layer and the substrate there are a great deal of defects, that's why the quantity k_2 amounts to values of the order of 4.

Thus, the proposed two-layer model (4) makes possible to interpret adequately the experimental results about the microhardness of two-layer nanostructures and can be extended to the multilayer nanostructures.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, research grant No. 14-22-00018.

References

- [1] A. Mann, In: *Nanotribology and Nanomechanics*, Ed. by B. Bhushan (Springer, Berlin, 2011), p. 391.
- [2] A.C. Fisher-Crips, *Nanoindentation* (Springer, Berlin, 2011).
- [3] M. Losurdo, K. Hingerl, *Ellipsometry at the Nanoscale* (Springer, Berlin, 2013).
- [4] A.S. Grashchenko, S.A. Kukushkin, A.V. Osipov // *Technical Physics Letters* **40** (2014) 53.
- [5] S.A. Kukushkin, A.V. Osipov // *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* **47** (2014) 313001.
- [6] S.A. Kukushkin, A.V. Osipov, V.N. Bessolov, B.K. Medvedev, V.K. Nevolin, K.A. Tsarik // *Reviews on Advanced Materials Science* **17** (2008) 1.
- [7] S.A. Kukushkin, A.V. Osipov // *Physics of the Solid State* **56** (2014) 792.
- [8] S.A. Kukushkin, A.V. Osipov, N.A. Feoktistov // *Physics of the Solid State* **56** (2014) 1507.